

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/733,375 | 12/12/2003 | Hidenori Hakage | 1190-0586P | 8544 |
| 2292 | 7590 08/23/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH | | | BLAKE, CAROLYN T | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUF | RCH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 3724 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | | /// | |
|--|---|--|-----|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Office Action Comments | 10/733,375 | HAKAGE ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Carolyn T. Blake | 3724 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | n. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De | ecember 2003. | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This | action is non-final. | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | S |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or expending the application. | vn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | • | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | • | d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive | on No | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | |

Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. FIGS 1-3; and
- II. FIGS 4-12.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Page 3

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusions

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carolyn T. Blake whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30

PM, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,375

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 17, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700